



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,480	12/17/2003	Douglas Zhu	81086614	1479
28395 75	90 02/01/2005		EXAMINER	
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL			NORMAN, MARC E	
1000 TOWN CI 22ND FLOOR	ENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, MI 48075-1238		3744	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/01/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/707,480	ZHU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	_
	Marc E. Norman	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	17 December 2003		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	·	·	
·	au. La parte quayre, 1000 ord		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 200</u>		objected to by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection t		- ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	· ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or _. (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage	
application from the International B		:	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	receivea.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/17/03</u>. 	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 2 and 3 are objected to because of the following informalities: As written, claim 3 depends from itself, and also claim 2 depends from claim 3. For purposes of examination, these claims have been examined as if they depended from claim 1, which the Examiner suspects Applicant intended. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito et al.

As per claims 1-6, 12, and 19, Saito et al. discloses a method of masking vehicle noise by monitoring vehicle speed, setting a battery fan speed at a certain value at zero vehicle speed, and increasing a fan speed linearly/monotonically according to vehicle speed (dashed control duty value of Figure 8).

Application/Control Number: 10/707,480

Art Unit: 3744

As per claims 7 and 21, Saito et al. discloses increasing fan speed according to cooling requirements regardless of vehicle speed (see Figure 8, solid control duty value line between t2 and t4).

As per claims 8, 9, 21, and 22, Saito et al. further discloses the fan speed control discussed above being a duty cycle, as illustrated in Figure 8 and discussed in the Abstract.

As per claims 10, 11, 13, and 14, Saito et al. discloses the vehicle being a hybrid electric vehicle (paragraph 0004).

As per claim 15, see discussion of similar claim 7, above.

As per claim 16 and 17, see discussions of similar claims 8 and 9, above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al.

As per claim 18, Saito et al. does not disclose the specific temperatures and duty cycle values recited, however, official notice is taken that these are common temperature and duty cycle values that would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art a the time of the invention as mere matters of design choice for the purpose of optimizing the efficiency of the system of Saito et al.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 571-272-4812. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on 571-272-4808. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MN

MARC NORMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER